Towards a holistic methodology in sustainable retrofitting: Theory, Implementation and Application

Aliakbar Kamari

Double degree Ph.D student, M.Sc.ENG, B.Sc.ENG

Department of Engineering, Aarhus University, Denmark

Department of Architecture, University of Palermo, Italy

Email: ak@eng.au.dk

aliakbar.kamari@unipa.it

Ph.No: +45 52528280

+39 3926592240

Organisers:

WSBE17 | 5-7 June 2017 | Hong Kong









Towards a holistic methodology in sustainable retrofitting: Theory, Implementation and Application

Aliakbar Kamari^{1,2}, Stina Rask Jensen², Rossella Corrao¹, Poul Henning Kirkegaard²

¹ Department of Architecture, University of Palermo, Italy; ² Department of Engineering, Aarhus University, Denmark.



Agenda

- State of the art
- Retrofitting context (Process of retrofitting)
- Statement of problems (challenges in general)
- Formulation of the problem
- Hypothesis
- Engineering design + Decision making & Management science
- Mixing SSM with MCDM
- Framework of the Methodology
- Conclusion



State of the art

- A substantial share of the building stock in Europe is older than 50 years.
- > 90% of existing buildings will still be in use by 2050.
- 40-50 % of the total energy consumption today is used in buildings for heating and operating equipment.
- The EPBD Impact Assessment concluded that the potential for cost effective energy savings in the EU building stock is about 30% in the period to 2020.



BPIE - Buildings Performance Institute Europe



Energy Performance of Buildings Directive European Commission





Statement of problems (challenges in general)



Statement of problems (challenges in general)

- Uncertainties include phenomena such as "pre-bound" effect, the divergence between modelled and actual energy consumption for the preretrofit
- Uncertainties about "rebound" effect, in which the post-retrofit energy consumption is higher than predicted, due to changes in occupant behavior
- Financial issues
- Technical & Socio-Technical barriers
- Lack of occupants knowledge of the possibilities



 Lack of knowledge over the state of the art methods and platforms to collaborate and communicate among involved parties in a project

SBi – the Danish Building Research Institute







Value chain between

actors





A., Kamari, Corrao, & **R.**, (2017). Kirkegaard, **P.** H. **Sustainability** focused **Decision**making in Building Renovation. International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment, Manuscript has been accepted for publication.

sustainability

















Organisers:







Hypothesis

The techniques developed to study and manage engineering change may have positive benefits for retrofitting context.

Development of an engineering design methodology using SSM and MCDM based on mix methods can harness their potential to support learning about the problem and more effective decision support in the early design stage of retrofitting projects.





Mixing Multiple Criteria Decision Making with soft systems thinking techniques for decision support in complex situations

> Petkov, D., Petkova, O., Andrew, T. and Nepal, T. (2007), Decision Support Systems 43 (2007) 1615–1629

Vo, Huy; Paradice, David; and Courtney, James, "Problem Formulation in Inquiring Organizations: A Multiple Perspectives Approach" (2001). AMCIS 2001 Proceedings. Paper 384.













By late 1990s Oxford dictionaries of current English: 'the science of method'

Never imagine that any methodology can itself lead to 'improvement'. It may, though, help you to achieve better 'improvement' than you would without its guidelines. But different users tackling the same situation would achieve different outcomes.

> By 1996 Oxford dictionaries of current English:

'a body of methods used in a particular activity"















Framework of the Methodology (learning & optimization-based):

SSM uses "systems thinking" in a cycle of action research, learning and reflection to help understand the various perceptions that exist in the minds of the different people involved in the situation.

SSM encourages group learning and is ideal as a group decisionmaking approach. It is strengthened by the active participation by different participants and stakeholders, and encourages joint ownership of the problem solving process. Finally, SSM is recommended where an organization is seeking to achieve changes in workplace culture and transformation into a learning organization.

MCDM can be discussed as "a philosophy and a social-technical process to create value for decision makers and stakeholders facing difficult decisions involving multiple stakeholders, multiple (possibly conflicting) objectives, complex alternatives, important

uncertainties, and significant consequences.















SSM including Four Main Activities Methodology (Checkland, 2000)

The original methodology can be described as a four main activities process of analysis which uses the concept of a human activity system as a means of getting from "finding out" about a situation to "taking action" to improve the situation.

Mapping of possible techniques suitable for the problem situations within concept of Holism discussed onto the three worlds of Habermas (1984) for building renovation purpose:

	APPRECIATION		ANALYSIS		ASSESSMENT		ACTION	
Social world	A, B, C, D	-	A, E, F,	Н	F, G	I, J, K	-	I, J, K
			G					
Personal world	A, B, C, D	-	C, D, E	Н	C, D, E	I, J, K	C, D, E	I, J, K
Technical world	A, B, C, D	-	C, F, G	Н	F, G	I, J, K	-	I, J, K
	SSM	MCDM	SSM	MCDM	SSM	MCDM	SSM	MCDM
A) Rich picture B) CATWOE C) Root definition D) Conceptual models E) PQR F) POT G) SAST H) Delphi								

method I) Pairwise comparison J) AHP K) TOPSIS



SSM including Four Main Activities Methodology (Checkland, 2000)

- using Rich picture, CATWOE, and PQR through Giga Mapping
- using POT (Huy et al. 2001) or SAST (Mason et al., 1981)
- using AHP (Saaty, 1980)

	Finding out about a problem situation, including culturally/politically						
Stage 1	Step 1	Problem formulation					
	Step 2	Selecting the main design criteria and sub-criteria					
	Step 3	Developing measurement scales for the sub-criteria					
	Proposed methods: Root definition, Rich picture, CATWOE, PQR (What, How, Why),						
	and Delphi method						
Stage 2	Formulating some relevant purposeful activity models						
	Step 4	Generating alternative solutions					
	Proposed methods: Process modeling in SSM						
Stage 3	Debating the situation, using the models, seeking from that debate both						
	 a) changes which would improve the situation and are regarded as both 						
	desirable and (culturally) feasible						
	b) the accommodations between conflicting interests which will enable						
	action to improve to be taken						
	Step 5	Weighing the main criteria and sub-criteria					
	Proposed methods: POT or SAST + Pair wise comparison or TOPSIS or AHP						
Stage 4	Taking action in the situation to bring about improvement						
	Step 6	Predicting performance					
	Step 7	Aggregating scores					
	Step 8	Analyzing results and making decisions					
	Proposed methods: AHP or TOPSIS						

International Co-owners:

白iiiSBE

Sustainable Buildings



Organisers:

Conclusion

When we deal with increased complexity and multiple stakeholders it is useful to explore the possibilities to combine separate techniques from soft systems thinking with multiple criteria decision making in order to both reflect the conflicting nature of the criteria guiding decision makers in complex situations and harness their potential to support learning about the problem and more effective decision support.



Message for the future

- Look into the buildings as the buildings
- Explore the complexity and try to deal with it
- Investigate Sustainability, set the goals from the beginning and address them comprehensively
- The future of solving the problems in our domain should be about adaptation in the process and not just certification of the buildings
- Do not decouple occupants from the design process
- Explore the traditional methodologies and design methodologies and endeavor to equip them with new approaches and methods in order to deal with this level of complexity!

Thank you

ALIAKBAR KAMARI

Double degree Ph.D student, M.Sc.ENG, B.Sc.ENG Department of Engineering, Aarhus University, Denmark Department of Architecture, University of Palermo, Italy

Email: ak@eng.au.dk aliakbar.kamari@unipa.it

Ph.No:

+45 52528280 +393926592240

Organisers:

ONSTRUCTION

IDUSTRY COUNCI







